

Serial No. 09/989,886

- 5 -

REMARKS

Status of Claims

Claim 5 has been objected to for a dependence informality.

Claim 9 has been objected to for indefiniteness.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-8 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) for lack of novelty over Baidon.

Claim 5 has been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) for obviousness over Baidon in view of Chum.

The Examiner has objected to claims 3, 6, 9 and 10 as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but indicated that such claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Claim 1

Amended independent claim 1 is a combination of previous claim 1 and claim 3 in accordance with the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter.

Claim 4

Amended independent claim 4 is a combination of previous claim 4 and claim 6 in accordance with the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter.

Claim 7

Amended independent claim 7 is a combination of previous claim 7 and claims 8 and 9 in accordance with the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter and subject to addressing the objections to previous claim 9.

Dependent Claims

Claims 3, 6, 8 and 9 have been cancelled.

The objected-to of dependency of claim 5 has been corrected.

Serial No. 09/989,886

- 6 -

The dependency of claim 10 has been amended.

The sub-claims are patentable at least on the basis that they each depend on an allowable independent claim.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, allowance of all of the claims presently in the application is respectfully requested, as is passage to issuance of the application. If the Examiner should feel that the application is not yet in a condition for allowance and that a telephone interview would be useful, he is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney at 732 949-9742.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Matthew J. Hodulik
Matthew J. Hodulik
Reg. No. 36,164
Attorney for Applicant
(732) 949-9742

Lucent Technologies Inc.

Date: 11/22/05